

Typed or printed name

PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OMB 0651-0031

Under the Pa	perwork Reduction Act of 1995	U.S no persons are required to respond to a c	. Patent and T	rademark <b>.</b>	Office; U	J.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE displays a valid OMB control number.			
		Application Number	10/636,11						
TR	RANSMITTAL	Filing Date	August 7,	August 7, 2003					
	FORM	First Named Inventor	Michael E	Michael E. Buhl et al					
		Art Unit	1723						
(to be used for	all correspondence after initial	Examiner Name	Terry K. C	Cecil					
,		Attorney Docket Number	502337						
Total Number of	f Pages in This Submission								
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)									
Fee Tran	smittal Form	Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to TC  Appeal Communication to Board				
F	ee Attached	Licensing-related Papers				eals and Interferences			
Extension Express Information Certified Document Reply to Incomple	4 4 1 1 1		ion Address	n Address Status Lew Status Lew Selow): Interview Summer Postcard		Enclosure(s) (please Identify			
	SIGNA	TURE OF APPLICANT, ATT	ORNEY, O	OR AGI	ENT				
Firm Name	Reinhart Boerner Van De	uren P.C.							
Signature	Signature Um 1								
Printed name	William H. Dietrich								
Date	August 9, 2006		Reg. No.	48359					
I hereby certify the sufficient postage the date shown be	nat this correspondence is be as first class mail in an en	ERTIFICATE OF TRANSMIS  being facsimile transmitted to the USP  velope addressed to: Commissioner	TO or depos	sited with	the Un 1450, /	ited States Postal Service with Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on			
Signature	nach	Mash							
Typed or printed		)			Date	August 9, 2006			

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Michael E. Buhl, et al.

Art Unit: 1723

Application No.: 10/636,117

Examiner: Terry K. Cecil

Filed: August 7, 2003

For: FILTER HEAD AND BURNER

SYSTEM INCORPORATING SAME

## **INTERVIEW SUMMARY**

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Office Action dated July 28, 2006, please enter this Interview Summary and the following remarks.

Remarks begin on page 2.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 CFR 1.8							
I hereby certify that this Response to Office Action and all accompanying documents are, on the date indicated							
below, \begin{square} \text{being}	deposited with the United States Posta	l Service a	s first class mail in an envelope addressed to:				
Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, or 🔲 being							
facsimile transmitted to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Attention: Examiner , Art Unit ,							
Facsimile Number .							
Name (Print/Type)	Tracey Johnson						
Signature	Frace Johnson	Date	August 9, 2006				
	00						

In re Appln. Of: Michael E. Buhl, et al.

Application No.: 10/636,117

## REMARKS

On June 29, 2006, Examiner Terry K. Cecil and attorney William H. Dietrich, Reg. No. 48359, participated in a telephonic Interview. No exhibits were shown and no demonstrations was conducted.

During the Interview, claims 1-22 were discussed in light of the rejection of those claims under 35 U.S.C. §112. In particular, the Applicant respectfully pointed out that the Examiner had apparently inadvertently misinterpreted the filter heat exit port as the outlet port. As a result of the misinterpretation, the Examiner agreed to reconsider an after-final amendment filed by the Applicant. The Examiner further agreed to withdraw the finality of the previous Office Action and issue a new non-final action in light of the discussions during the Interview. No agreement was reached as to the patentability of claims 1-22.

This Interview Summary is being filed by the Applicant to satisfy the requirements of MPEP §713.04 as noted in the Interview Summary of the Examiner appended to the Office Action dated July 28, 2006. A complete Response to the Office Action of July 28, 2006, will be filed at a later date.

Respectfully submitted,

William H. Dietrich, Reg. No. 48359 Reinhart Boerner Van Deuren P.C.

483 N. Mulford Road, Suite 7

Rockford, Illinois 61107 (815) 484-1900 (telephone)

(815) 484-1032 (facsimile)

Date: August 9, 2006